## Neural Semantic 3D World Modeling and Mapping summary

S. Papadopoulos, Prof. Ioannis Pitas Aristotle University of Thessaloniki pitas@aiia.csd.auth.gr www.aiia.csd.auth.gr Version 2.2



### Neural Semantic 3D World Modeling



#### Introduction

- Disparity/Depth Estimation with NNs
- Joint 3D Scene Geometry and Semantics Estimation
- Semantic 3D World Maps
- Semantic 3D World Map Annotations



#### Introduction



- Autonomous/robotic systems (e.g., autonomous cars, drones, etc.) are characterized by their ability to navigate an area on their own, by exploiting sensor data acquired on-the-fly and AI algorithms.
- Knowing the geometry and semantics of a depicted scene/object is a prerequisite for understanding its surroundings and thus, safely navigate therein.



#### Introduction



- Traditionally, scene geometry was directly sampled using 3D sensors, such as LiDARs.
- Estimation of the underlying scene semantics was limited to object detection with handcrafted features.
- Recently, Deep Neural Networks (DNNs) enabled accurate scene geometry and semantics estimation, using visual sensors only, such as RGB or RGB-D cameras.



### Classification/Recognition/ Identification



- Given a set of classes  $C = \{C_i, i = 1, ..., m\}$  and a sample  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the ML model  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{\theta})$  predicts a class label vector  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} \in [0, 1]^m$  for input sample  $\mathbf{x}$ , where  $\mathbf{\theta}$  are the learnable model parameters.
- Essentially, a probabilistic distribution  $P(\hat{\mathbf{y}}; \mathbf{x})$  is computed.
- Interpretation: likelihood of the given sample x belonging to each class  $C_i$ .
  - Single-target classification:
    - Classes  $C_i$ , i = 1, ..., m are **mutually exclusive**:  $||\hat{\mathbf{y}}||_1 = 1$ .
- Multi-target classification:
  - Classes  $C_i$ , i = 1, ..., m are **not mutually exclusive**:  $||\hat{\mathbf{y}}||_1 \ge 1$ .

#### **Supervised Learning**



• A sufficient large training sample set  $\mathcal{D}$  is required for Supervised Learning (regression, classification):

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ (\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i), i = 1, \dots, N \}.$$

- $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ : *n*-dimensional input (feature) vector of the *i*-th training sample.
- y<sub>i</sub>: its target label (output).
- Target form y can vary:
  - it can be categorical, a real number or a combination of both.



### Classification/Recognition/ Identification



- **Training**: Given *N* pairs of training samples  $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i), i = 1, ..., N\}$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{y}_i \in [0,1]^m$ , estimate  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  by minimizing a loss function:  $\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\mathbf{y}})$ .
- Inference/testing: Given  $N_t$  pairs of testing examples  $\mathcal{D}_t = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i), i = 1, ..., N_t\}$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{y}_i \in [0,1]^m$ , compute (**predict**)  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_i$  and calculate a performance metric, e.g., classification accuracy.



#### Regression



Given a sample  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a function  $\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x})$ , the model predicts *real-valued quantities* for that sample:  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{\theta})$ , where  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\mathbf{\theta}$  are the learnable parameters of the model.

- **Training**: Given *N* pairs of training examples  $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i), i = 1, ..., N\}$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , estimate  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  by minimizing a loss function: min  $J(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\mathbf{y}})$ .
- **Testing**: Given  $N_t$  pairs of testing examples  $\mathcal{D}_t = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i), i = 1, ..., N_t\}$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , compute (predict)  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_i$  and calculate a performance metric, e.g., MSE.



## Semantic Image Segmentation (VML

- CNN Semantic image segmentation typically uses a cascade of an *encoding* and a *decoding subnetwork*.
- The final output of the decoder is a *semantic image map*, having:
  - same spatial resolution as the input and
  - as *many channels* as the object class number.
- **Per-pixel** image classification is performed.





Disparity/Depth estimation using Neural Networks (NN) can be divided into four categories:

- NNs for stereo image pair patch matching.
- NN computation of the dense disparity (or depth) map directly from stereo image pairs (without any explicit feature matching).
- Monocular supervised disparity/depth estimation.
- Unsupervised NN disparity/depth estimation methods.





• CNN is trained to predict how well two image patches match and use it to compute the stereo matching cost:

$$SAD(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{d}) = \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{p}}} |f_l(\mathbf{q}) - f_r(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{d})|.$$

- *f<sub>l</sub>*(**p**), *f<sub>r</sub>*(**p**): image intensities at position **p** in the left and right image.
- $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{p}}$  : image neighborhood at pixel **p**.
- $\mathbf{d} = [d, 0]^T$ : stereo disparity.









• Next, image  $f_r$  is warped to form an approximation  $f'_l$  of  $f_l$ , such that:









• Then, the photometric loss function  $J_p$  is minimized for optimal depth estimation:

$$J_p = \sum_{\mathbf{p}_l, \mathbf{p}_r \in \mathcal{X}} \|f_l(\mathbf{p}_l) - f_r(\mathbf{p}_r)\|^2.$$

- $\mathcal{X}$ : image domain.
- During DNN training using stereo image pairs, DNN learns to estimate  $D(\mathbf{p}_l)$ , by minimizing  $J_p$ .
- During testing, a monocular image f(p) is fed to DNN to produce the desired depth map D.



• Then the photometric loss function is computed:

$$J_p(n) = \sum_{\mathbf{p}_{n}, \mathbf{p}_{n+1} \in \mathcal{X}} \|f(\mathbf{p}_n, n) - f(\mathbf{p}_{n+1}, n+1)\|^2.$$

- The two DNNs are trained to minimize  $J_p$ .
- During testing, a monocular image  $f(\mathbf{p}, n')$  is fed to the depth estimation DNN to produce the desired depth map



D.







(a) Training: unlabeled video clips.



(b) Testing: single-view depth and multi-view pose estimation.

#### Depth and pose estimation DNNs.

Information Analysis Lab







#### **Point Cloud Generation**



- CNNs (*U-nets* in particular) can generate 3D point cloud coordinates, if given a single image as input [FAN2017].
- The encoder predicts embeddings from the image and a random vector to perturb the prediction (inspired from GANs).
  - The predictor outputs a  $N \times 3$  (N = 1024) coordinate matrix having entries [ $X_i, Y_i, Z_i$ ], i = 1, ..., N.



#### **3D Surface Mesh Estimation**

- **Triangular meshes** can also be inferred from single images.
- An effective way [WAN2018] is to:

2018].

- progressively deform 3D object mesh using a Graph CNN, starting from trivial mesh, e.g., an ellipsoid;
- produce the mesh that corresponds to the depicted object, by directly inferring mesh (graph) coordinates.
- The 3D mesh can also be formulated as a set of deformable 2D squares that covers a point cloud

#### **3D Volumetric Model Estimation**

- **Voxel** grid object representations can be generated given a singleview depth map, multiview images or a single image as input.
- Suitable networks: 3D CNNs [GAL2017], 3D Recurrent Neural Networks [CHO2016].
  - For higher resolution, without further memory needs, **octree** object representations have been explored

RIE2017].

formation Analys

3D object octtree.



### Neural Semantic 3D World Modeling



- Introduction
- Disparity/Depth Estimation with NNs
- Joint 3D Scene Geometry and Semantics
   Estimation
- Semantic 3D World Maps
- Semantic 3D World Map Annotations



- Semantic image segmentation and 3D geometry estimation are highly correlated tasks.
- Simultaneous execution of both tasks allows the creation of a *semantic 3D map*.
- Further gains:
  - Accuracy: the two tasks can reinforce one another.
    - **Speed**: possible use of common computational modules (e.g. common image feature extractors) instead of totally separate networks.



X

 $T_n(F(X))$ 

F

T

 $T_1(F(X))$ 

#### Typical multitask networks have:

- Common input X.
- Common feature extraction operator F.
- *n* concurrent task operators:

 $\mathbf{T}_1, \dots, \mathbf{T}_n, \ n \geq 2.$ 

• The multitask network output is the set:

 $\mathcal{T} = \{\mathbf{T}_1(\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X})), \dots, \mathbf{T}_n(\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}))\}.$ 



- CNN-predicted dense depth maps can be *fused* together with depth measurements directly obtained from monocular SLAM [TAT2017].
- CNN-predicted semantic segmentation can be coherently fused with the global 3D scene model.
- It can overcome problems, such as good estimation of the absolute scale, depth prediction in texture-less areas,

etc.









Neural depth image estimation and semantic image segmentation [APOLLO].

### Neural Semantic 3D World Modeling



- Introduction
- Disparity/Depth Estimation with NNs
- Joint 3D Scene Geometry and Semantics Estimation
- Semantic 3D World Maps
- Semantic 3D World Map Annotations





#### **Semantic 3D World Maps**



Semantic octomap [ZHA2018].



### Neural Semantic 3D World Modeling



- Introduction
- Disparity/Depth Estimation with NNs
- Joint 3D Scene Geometry and Semantics Estimation
- Semantic 3D World Maps
- Semantic 3D World Map Annotations



#### **Sources: 2D maps**



- Google Maps.
- OpenStreetMaps.
- Semantic annotated information:
  - (roads, POIs, landing sites) in KML format in Google Maps.
  - roads in OSM (XML) in case of OpenStreetMaps.
- Google Maps JavaScript API.
- OpenStreetMaps API.



#### **Sources: 3D maps**



Octree map (Octomap) of outdoor environment at 0.2 m resolution. Freiburg campus dataset [HOR2013].

- Formats:
  - 3D triangle mesh.
  - 3D Octomap.
- Octomap :



- The Octomap is a fully 3D model representing the 3D environment, where the UAV navigates.
- It provides a volumetric representation of space, namely of the occupied, free and unknown areas.
- It is based on octrees and using probabilistic occupancy estimation.



#### Semantic Map Annotation types (navigation/logistics)

Туре	Static/dynamic	Who	How	Geometric entity type
Regular takeoff and landing sites	Static	Supervisor	Manually	Point
No flight zones	Static	Supervisor	Manually or imported from a file, if available	Polygon (2D coordinates, longitude- latitude)
Potential emergency landing sites	Static	Supervisor	Manually	Polygon
Crowd gathering areas	Dynamic, during production	Visual Semantic annotator, Semantic map manager	Automatically	Polygon (2D coordinates, longitude- latitude)
Points of interest	Static		Manually	Point



# Semantic information structure



• Roads, POIs, no-flight zones, private areas.

ML

- Dynamic semantic information:
  - Crowd locations.
- KML format.



## Semantic Map Annotation types (Mathematic Map Annotation types (static: navigation/logistics)

 Static annotations are stored in KML file available from a ROS service in ROS node Semantic Map Manager:

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?> <kml xmlns="http://www.opengis.net/kml/2.2"> <Document> <name>KML STRUCTURE</name> <Folder> <name>Annotations</name> <Placemark> <name>1 </name> <address>1.1</address> <description> Landing Site/Regular Takeoff Site (re-charging/ relay stations)</description> <Point> <coordinates> 22.9662323,40.6832416,0 </coordinates> </Point> </Placemark> . . . . </km|>

#### **Projection of crowd location** (VML onto the 3D map







#### **Semantic 3D Mesh Map Annotation**





# Scalability of semantic map manager

- Total Processing Time of SMM
   nodes
  - as the circular buffer is being filled in the first 2500 frames the total duration of time processing is increased and
  - when it is filled, the processing time is being stable with a mean value around 3.5msec.



ML





# Scalability of semantic map manager

- Storage of the respective 2D polygons
  - Number of polygons in the circular buffer capacity equals to 60 polygons





#### References



[KAK2019] Kakaletsis, E., Tzelepi, M., Kaplanoglou, P. I., Symeonidis, C., Nikolaidis, N., Tefas, A., & Pitas, I. (2019, January). Semantic map annotation through UAV video analysis using deep learning models in ROS. In International Conference on Multimedia Modeling (pp. 328-340). Springer, Cham.
[HOR2013] Hornung, A., Wurm, K. M., Bennewitz, M., Stachniss, C., & Burgard, W. (2013). OctoMap: An efficient probabilistic 3D mapping framework based on octrees. Autonomous robots, 34(3), 189-206







#### Thank you very much for your attention!

## More material/lectures in http://icarus.csd.auth.gr/cvml-web-lecture-series/

## Contact: Prof. I. Pitas pitas@csd.auth.gr

