

3D Image and Video Quality Summary

Prof. Ioannis Pitas Aristotle University of Thessaloniki pitas@csd.auth.gr www.aiia.csd.auth.gr Version 2.0





3D Image and Video Quality

Introduction

- Visual Discomfort
- Visual Discomfort Assessment
- Physical Immersion Effects
- Stereoscopic Window Effects
- Depth Perception Effects
- 3D Video Quality



Introduction



- In stereoscopic vision, humans fuse two retinal images (from the left and right eye).
- 3D technology is highly demanding with respect to comfortable stereoscopic viewing.
- Multiple problems must be addressed, mainly regarding visual discomfort.
- Any failure results in degraded viewing experience.





3D Image and Video Quality

- Introduction
- Visual Discomfort
- Visual Discomfort Assessment
- Physical Immersion Effects
- Stereoscopic Window Effects
- Depth Perception Effects
- 3D Video Quality



VML

Visual Discomfort

- Accommodation: The procedure of trying to focus eye vision on a 3D object.
- Vergence: Left-right eye convergence on a 3D object.
- Vergence-Accommodation conflict: Vergence and accommodation distance inconsistencies in stereo vision, when we see 3D videos.
- Zone of Clear Single Binocular Vision (ZCSBV) is the region between the minimum and maximum boundaries for the vergence and focal stimuli, in which a human can see



Visual Discomfort



- There are minimum and maximum boundaries for comfortable 3D viewing.
- Zone of Comfort (ZoC) is the region between these boundaries.
- Percival & Sheard Zones of Comfort.



Zones of Comfort [SKHB11].





Visual Discomfort



Zones of Comfort in 3D cinematography.





Sources of Visual Discomfort

- Stereo image display mistakes: x axes should be horizontal while x axes should be parallel.
- **Retinal Rivalry**: Objects are placed in such way, that they are seen from just one eye.

Comfort zone violations.





3D Image and Video Quality

- Introduction
- Visual Discomfort
- Visual Discomfort Assessment
- Physical Immersion Effects
- Stereoscopic Window Effects
- Depth Perception Effects
- 3D Video Quality





Visual Discomfort Assessment

Stereoscopic Comfort function: $C(d, f) = -0.010(d - 19 - 22f^{0.74}).$

- *d*: binocular disparity (arcminutes).
- *f*: spatial frequency (cycles per degree of the visual field).
- The most comfortable 3D viewing setting is for small disparity and low spatial frequency values.

Artificial Intelligence & Information Analysis Lab



Stereoscopic Comfort function.



Visual Discomfort Assessment



Visual Discomfort Prediction Scheme combining depth, spatial frequencies and motion into a visual fatigue prediction model.





3D Image and Video Quality

- Introduction
- Visual Discomfort
- Visual Discomfort Assessment
- Physical Immersion Effects
- Stereoscopic Window Effects
- Depth Perception Effects
- 3D Video Quality





Physical Immersion Effects

- Nausea, disorientation in passive viewers.
- Fatigue, blurred vision and irritation.
- Immersion device ranking of devices based on symptom intensity:
 - 1. Head-mounted displays.
 - 2. Projection displays & Reality theaters.





Physical Immersion Effects



Symptoms after monoscopic and stereoscopic viewing.



Sleepiness

Artificial Intelligence & Information Analysis Lab

Boredom.



3D Image and Video Quality

- Introduction
- Visual Discomfort
- Visual Discomfort Assessment
- Physical Immersion Effects
- Stereoscopic Window Effects
- Depth Perception Effects
- 3D Video Quality





Stereoscopic window is the 3D TV or cinema screen.

- Stereoscopic quality issues:
 - Stereoscopic window violations: when an object part is cut off by a vertical display border.

Bent Window effects: when an object part is cut off by a horizontal display border.





Stereoscopic Window violations

 Objects that exit, enter or traverse the video frame in no more than half a second, cause no problem.

A known fix is *floating window*.A vertical mask is added on the

responding border.



Floating window technique [GARD11].







Example of left stereoscopic window violation: stereo anaglyphs and right disparity maps (yellow marked pixels have disparities > 5 pixel).





Bent window effect. Tree trunk has strong negative disparity (-30 pixels).





3D Image and Video Quality

- Introduction
- Visual Discomfort
- Visual Discomfort Assessment
- Physical Immersion Effects
- Stereoscopic Window Effects
- Depth Perception Effects
- 3D Video Quality





- 3D Video Perception is sensitive to depth information.
- Visual discomfort can be caused by:
 - Improper placement of objects in depth.
 - Sudden changes in video disparity:
 - UFO objects.



Depth Perception Effects UFO Objects Detection



image 1st frame 101th frame 151^{th} frame 201^{th} frame 251th frame image 301^{th} frame 351^{th} frame 401^{th} frame 501^{th} frame 608^{th} frame (b) Left Disparity eft Disparity

(a)

(c)



a) A static UFO object;b) Disparity maps;c) Mean UFO disparity.





Detection of Depth Jump Cuts

- There is no objective definition of "matching depth" between two video shots (viewer-dependent).
- Example of non-matching depth cut:
 - First shot: wide, objects positioned behind the screen.
 - Second shot: close-up, inside the theatre space
- In such scenarios, the viewer loses 3D perception, until it is readapted.





- Forward/backward Jump Cut: convergence point moves closer to /away from the viewer.
- Active Jump Cut: a cut between two shots with "nonmatching" depth is absolutely necessary.
- Example: live concert shooting
 - First shot: Orchestra
 - Second shot: Audience.





Correcting Depth Jump Cuts

- Non-linear disparity adaptation for interpolating depth jump cuts.
- A viewer-centric 3D video editor can apply cross fading:
 - Change of convergence point before and after the cut.
 - Matching depth of incoming video shot to the one of the out-coming shot.



Depth Perception Effects Algorithm for Detection of Depth Jump Cuts

- Calculation of mean positive d⁺ and negative d⁻ disparity, for every disparity map for every video frame n.
- The temporal derivative is calculated, finding the change of average disparity.
- Then thresholds are set for this change.
- If exceeded, we detect a jump cut.





Depth jump cut example: a) Video frames; b) Mean disparities

- Frame 170: A "Highly Uncomfortable" depth jump cut.
- Frame 902: A "Mildly Uncomfortable" depth jump cut.







Focal length effects

Cardboarding Effect.

- Fixed interaxial distance
- Focal length gets longer:
 - 3D scene depth layers get separated.
 - Background moves away.
 - 3D volumes get flatter.







Stereoscopic image quality issues

- Colorimetric mismatch
- Sharpness mismatches
- Synchronization mismatch
- Binocular rivalry:
 - One eye view having best quality tries to dominate.
- Crosstalk:
 - Information from the two video channels is cross-blended.





3D Image and Video Quality

- Introduction
- Visual Discomfort
- Visual Discomfort Assessment
- Physical Immersion Effects
- Stereoscopic Window Effects
- Depth Perception Effects
- 3D Video Quality



Parameters affecting 3D Image and Video Quality:

- Image/video Noise.
- Compression.
- Transmission errors, congestion, delays.
- Inter-view interaction.
- Quality of both 3D views.
- Visual comfort.
- Depth perception.
 Artificial Intelligence & 3D Image Quality Assessment by ML [LIU2018].









Objective 3D Video Quality Assessment.





Effect of Frame Rate on 3D Video Quality [BAN2015].



References



[BAN2015] Amin Banitalebi-Dehkordi, Mahsa T. Pourazad & Panos Nasiopoulos, The Effect of Frame Rate on 3D Video Quality and Bitrate, 2015

[GARD11] Gardner, The dynamic floating window: a new creative tool for 3d movies, 2011 [MES2020] Oussama Messaia, Fella Hachoufa, Zianou Ahmed Seghirb, Adaboost Neural Network and cyclopean view for no-reference stereoscopic image quality assessment, 2020

[SKHB11] The zone of comfort: Predicting visual discomfort with stereo displays, 2011 [YIN2020] Hongwei Ying, Mei Yu, Gangyi Jiang, Zongju Peng, Fen Chen, Perceived depth quality preserving visual comfort improvement method for stereoscopic 3D images, 2020 [XU2019] Xiaogang Xu, Bufan Shi, Zijin Gu, Ruizhe Deng, Xiaodong Chen, Andrey S. Krylov, Yong Ding, 3D No-Reference Image Quality Assessment via Transfer Learning and Saliency-Guided Feature Consolidation, 2019 [ZHA2019] Yun Zhang, Xiangkai Liu, Huanhua Liu, Chunling Fan, Depth perceptual quality

assessment for symmetrically and asymmetrically distorted stereoscopic 3D videos, 2019



References



[MOC2014] Decebal Constantin Mocanu, Georgios Exarchakos, Antonio Liotta, Deep Learning for Objective Quality Assessment of 3D Images, 2014.
[LIU2018] Tsung-Jung Liu, Ching-Ti Lin, Hsin-Hua Liu, Soo-Chang Pei, Blind Stereoscopic Image Quality Assessment Based on Hierarchical Learning, 2018
[EKM2010] E. Ekmekcioglu, S. T. Worrall, D.V.S.X. De Silva, W.A.C. Fernando, A. M. Kondoz, Depth Based Perceptual Quality Assessment For Synthesised Camera Viewpoints, 2010





Q & A

Thank you very much for your attention!

Contact: Prof. I. Pitas pitas@csd.auth.gr

